

Kari D. Simpson
PO Box 12014,
Murrayville Square
Langley, BC V3A 9J5
Email: driveforjustice@gmail.com
Tel:604.514.1614/Fax:604.514.1669

The Honourable Robert Douglas Nicholson
Minister of Justice and Attorney General of Canada
284 Wellington Street
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0H8

Via Courier, signature required

October 6, 2012

RE: *Simpson v. Mair & WIC Radio Ltd., 2004 BCSC 754*

- and -

WIC Radio Ltd. v. Simpson, 2008 SCC 40

- and -

Kurtz v. (Justice) Mary Marvyn Koenigsberg & Lubomyr Prytulak

aka Lubomir Prytulak, Luby Steven Prytulak, Luby Stephan,

Myroslaw Prytulak, Mirosław Prytuak, Myroslav Prytulak, & Mirosław Prytulak

- and -

The Lawful Administration of Justice in Canada

Hon. Minister Nicholson,

My name is Kari Simpson. I have previously provided you, and the Prime Minister, with a considerable amount of information regarding important legal matters, but I have not yet had a response from you or him despite assurances that I would.

As I am sure you are aware, I am pursuing justice for my cause. But I am equally determined to expose those accountable for judicial defilement, and to ensure that they are rightfully held to account.

As Minister of Justice, you are responsible, in part, for the appointment of Supreme Court Justices. It is anticipated that in your appointment of justices, you are informed of the circumstances involving their appointment. I understand these appointments can sometimes result from vacancies, like the one made when Justice Mary Marvyn Koenigsberg, a justice of the BC Supreme Court (then sitting in Vancouver, and residing close by), who suddenly and suspiciously decided to abandon her position as a full-time judge, and “elected” to become a supernumerary judge in a remote part of the province (Smithers, BC). Enclosed is a copy of your news release referring to this event.

I’m sure you can appreciate that those of us who are well-informed about the judicial shenanigans of Justice Koenigsberg and the then Chief Justice, the late Donald Brenner, are determined in our pursuit of justice, the details of which were previously provided to you in the summary brief I prepared for the Prime Minister (enclosed is another copy for your convenience; also enclosed is my letter to the Prime Minister concerning these matters).

You can also appreciate that, for the sake of the public’s trust in the independence of our judiciary and confidence in the rule of law—and thus the lawful administration of justice—we would be remiss in our democratic duty as civil Canadians if we failed to ask whether you were informed of this information prior to January 23, 2009, when you assigned Justice Sewell to replace Madam Justice Koenigsberg. This replacement transpired just a few short months after Madam Justice Koenigsberg’s legal challenges were abandoned by a rightfully frustrated plaintiff—legal challenges presided over by the late Chief Justice of the *BC Supreme Court* (and member of the *CJC*) Donald Brenner, who also saw fit to seize himself of that case.

For your information, I wrote on August 23, 2012 to the *Canadian Judicial Council*—the statutorily assigned body that is supposed to govern judges and inspire confidence in Canadians that judges and the legal profession can somehow monitor themselves independently—to answer three simple questions about Justice Koenigsberg. ***They have failed to respond.***

I have also enclosed a copy of that request for your information.

I have also sent the Right Honourable Chief Justice Beverly McLachlin a request, in her capacity as Chairperson of the *CJC*, to provide me with the answers. I enclose a copy of that correspondence for your information, as well.

Mr. Minister, please answer these two simple questions:

- 1) **What information (if any) were you given about the “election” of Justice Koenigsberg to become a supernumerary judge?**
- 2) Previous to me advising you about the unlawful antics of Justice Koenigsberg, as identified in my summary brief and other numerous correspondences (including a copied correspondence to the late Chief Justice Donald Brenner, dated February 20, 2009, enclosed), **did you possess knowledge of these facts, including the facts involving the fraudulent conveyance of her home**, as defined by the *Fraudulent Conveyance Act* of BC?


As you should be aware, Justice Marvyn Koenigsberg was not qualified to pronounce judgement in my case (*Simpson v. Mair & WIC*), because she could not at that time be considered to be a judge “of good behaviour” by any reasonable-minded person. Canadians deserve to know how a judge—Justice Koenigsberg in this case—could be assigned to preside over a matter, like mine, that involved religious vilification, hate and defamation, while she was at the same time financially supporting an individual who despises certain Jews, and according to court records vilified and lied about them, and was found by a court to have defamed them; and then further, Koenigsberg herself engaged in unlawful activity by not only initiating activities designed to obstruct justice in her own personal legal problems, but blatantly abused her role as a jurist by amplifying provable lies about me that constituted the heart of my defamation suit, thus perpetrating further assault upon my reputation—an affront that continues unabated to this day, and imposes irreparable harm.

Mr. Nicholson, I recognize that these matters may adversely affect you personally and professionally, and that the facts I am bringing to light may further bring the administration of justice into disrepute. But any delay or failure to truthfully and forthrightly address these important matters would only serve to further erode public trust in the courts. Without the public's confidence that our courts uphold and lawfully apply the rule of law, anarchy and vengeance would be afforded a rational standing, especially if you, as Minister of Justice, have knowledge of such facts and failed to acknowledge such.

This judicial insanity will stop, for it must. Truth and justice will prevail in this matter. Mr. Minister, it is my hope that your conduct as Minister of Justice for Canada will prove to be honourable.

I look forward to your answers to my questions.

Yours sincerely,



Karl D. Simpson

Encl: Correspondence to Prime Minister Harper dated July 6, 2012
Summary Brief of Simpson v Mair et al & WIC Radio v Simpson
Dept. of Justice News Release Jan.23, 2009 Judicial Appointments
Correspondence to CJC (August 24, 2012)
Correspondence to Chief Justice Beverley McLachlin (October 6, 2012)
Correspondence to the late, then Chief Justice Donald Brenner (Feb.20, 2009)

Copied and distributed generally to Canadians, Judiciary and other legal associations and their members, public interest groups and associations, the Prime Minister, elected representatives and members of the Senate.

***Truth will always make an appearance,
sometimes she just waits for a bigger stage to be built.
RoadKillRadio.com is now built.***